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#### Abstract

The discrepancy of sequences of pseudo-random numbers generated by the linear congruential method is estimated, thereby improving a result of Jagerman. Applications to numerical integration are mentioned.


Let $m$ be a modulus with primitive root $\lambda$, and let $y_{0}$ be an integer in the least residue system modulo $m$ with g.c.d. $\left(y_{0}, m\right)=1$. We generate a sequence $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots$ of integers in the least residue system modulo $m$ by $y_{j+1} \equiv \lambda y_{j}(\bmod m)$ for $j \geqq 0$. The sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots$, defined by $x_{i}=y_{i} / m$ for $j \geqq 0$, is then a frequently employed sequence of pseudo-random numbers in the unit interval [ 0,1 ]. Its elements $x_{i}$ may also be described explicitly by $x_{i}=\left\{\lambda^{i} y_{0} / m\right\}$ for $j \geqq 0$, where $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of the real number $x$. The sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots$ has period $Q=\phi(m)$, where $\phi$ is Euler's totient function.

For a real number $\alpha$ with $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$, let $A(\alpha)$ be the number of elements of the sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{0-1}$ lying in the interval $[0, \alpha]$. We define the discrepancy $D=\sup _{0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1}|A(\alpha) / Q-\alpha|$ which measures the deviation from the uniform distribution. Jagerman [2] has shown that $D \leqq(4 / \pi)((3 \log m) / Q)^{1 / 2}$. His method is based on an estimate of the discrepancy in terms of certain trigonometric sums. In the present note, we shall show that a much simpler method yields a considerably sharper estimate for $D$ (see Theorem 2). We prove also some related results.

For $\alpha$ from above and a positive integer $k$, let $A^{(k)}(\alpha)$ be the number of rationals $i / k, 1 \leqq i \leqq k$, g.c.d. $(i, k)=1$, lying in the interval $[0, \alpha]$.

Theorem 1. For any positive integer $k$, we have

$$
D^{(k)}=\sup _{0 \leqq \alpha \leq 1}\left|\frac{A^{(k)}(\alpha)}{\phi(k)}-\alpha\right|=O\left(k^{\epsilon-1}\right) \quad \text { for every } \epsilon>0 .
$$

Proof. For an arbitrary positive integer $r$, we consider the sequence of rationals $1 / r, 2 / r, \cdots, r / r$. There are exactly $[r \alpha]$ elements of this sequence in the interval $[0, \alpha]$. We now count these elements by a second method. We write the rationals $j / r$, $1 \leqq j \leqq r$, in reduced form and then count, for each positive divisor $d$ of $r$, the resulting rationals with denominator $d$ lying in $[0, \alpha]$. We thereby arrive at the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
[r \alpha]=\sum_{d \mid r} A^{(d)}(\alpha) \quad \text { for all } r \geqq 1 \text { and all } \alpha, 0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the Moebius inversion formula to (1), we obtain

$$
A^{(k)}(\alpha)=\sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d)\left[\frac{k}{d} \alpha\right] \text { for all } k \geqq 1 \text { and all } \alpha, 0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1
$$

Consequently, we have, for all $\alpha$ with $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{A^{(k)}(\alpha)}{\phi(k)}-\alpha\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d) \frac{k}{d} \alpha-\frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d)\left\{\frac{k}{d} \alpha\right\}-\alpha\right|  \tag{2}\\
& =\left|\frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d)\left\{\frac{k}{d} \alpha\right\}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $D^{(k)} \leqq(1 / \phi(k)) \sum_{d \mid k}|\mu(d)|=g(k)$. Now, $g(k)$ is a multiplicative numbertheoretic function. To prove that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g(k) k^{1-\epsilon}=0$, it will therefore suffice to show that $\lim _{p^{\circ} \rightarrow \infty} g\left(p^{s}\right)\left(p^{s}\right)^{1-\epsilon}=0$, where $p^{s}$ runs through all prime powers. But $g\left(p^{s}\right)\left(p^{s}\right)^{1-\epsilon}=2 p^{-\epsilon s}(1-1 / p)^{-1} \leqq 4 p^{-\epsilon s}$, and we are done.

Let us now return to the sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{Q-1}$. Since there is a primitive root modulo $m$, we must have $m=2,4, p^{s}$, or $2 p^{s}$, where $p$ is an odd prime and $s \geqq 1$. For $m=2$ and 4 , we readily get $D=\frac{1}{2}$ and $D=\frac{1}{4}$, respectively. For the remaining cases, we have the following estimates.

Theorem 2. If $m=p^{s}$, then $D \leqq 1 / Q$. If $m=2 p^{s}$, then $D \leqq 2 / Q$.
Proof. We note that the sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{\theta-1}$ runs, in some order, through all the rationals $i / m$ with $1 \leqq i \leqq m$ and g.c.d. $(i, m)=1$. Therefore, $A(\alpha)=A^{(m)}(\alpha)$, and we can apply (2). For $m=p^{s}$, we get, for all $\alpha$ with $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$,

$$
\left|\frac{A(\alpha)}{Q}-\alpha\right|=\frac{1}{Q}\left|\{m \alpha\}-\left\{\frac{m}{p} \alpha\right\}\right|<\frac{1}{Q}
$$

For $m=2 p^{s}$, we get, for all $\alpha$ with $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$,

$$
\left|\frac{A(\alpha)}{Q}-\alpha\right|=\frac{1}{Q}\left|\{m \alpha\}-\left\{\frac{m}{2} \alpha\right\}-\left\{\frac{m}{p} \alpha\right\}+\left\{\frac{m}{2 p} \alpha\right\}\right|<\frac{2}{Q} .
$$

It is well known (see for instance [4]) that the discrepancy $D$ of any sequence in $[0,1]$ with $Q$ elements must satisfy $D \geqq 1 / 2 Q$. Therefore, no substantial improvement of Theorem 2 is possible. We refer to [1] for results on the distribution of pseudorandom numbers in the case $m=2^{s}$ with $s \geqq 3$ (of course, $\lambda$ is then not a primitive root any more).

Theorem 2 implies two error estimates for numerical integration based on the sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{0-1}$. First, we apply Koksma's inequality [3] which states that, for any sequence $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N-1}$ in $[0,1]$ with discrepancy $D_{N}$ and any integrand $f$ with bounded variation $V(f)$ on $[0,1]$, one has

$$
\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f\left(a_{i}\right)-\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x\right| \leqq V(f) D_{N} .
$$

The notion of discrepancy is usually defined in terms of the counting functions relative to the half-open intervals $[0, \alpha) 0<,\alpha \leqq 1$. But it is easily seen that this is identical with our concept of discrepancy in which we used the counting functions relative to the closed intervals $[0, \alpha], 0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$.

Corollary 1. Let $f$ be a function with bounded variation $V(f)$ in $[0,1]$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} f\left(x_{i}\right)-\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x\right| \leqq \frac{c}{Q} V(f)
$$

where $c=\frac{1}{2}$ for $m=2$ and $4, c=1$ for $m=p^{s}$, and $c=2$ for $m=2 p^{s}$.

Finally, we apply an inequality given by the present author in [4]: If $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots$, $a_{N-1}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$ with discrepancy $D_{N}$ and $f$ is continuous in $[0,1]$ with modulus of continuity $\omega$, then

$$
\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f\left(a_{i}\right)-\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x\right| \leqq \omega\left(D_{N}\right)
$$

For the convenience of the reader, we include the short proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $0 \leqq a_{0} \leqq a_{1} \leqq \cdots \leqq a_{N-1} \leqq 1$. We know then from [5, Eq. (4)], [6, Theorem 1] that $D_{N}$ is also given by

$$
D_{N}=\max _{i=0, \cdots, N-1} \max \left(\left|a_{i}-\frac{i}{N}\right|,\left|a_{i}-\frac{i+1}{N}\right|\right)
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x & =\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{i / N}^{(i+1) / N} f(x) d x \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{N} f\left(\xi_{i}\right) \text { with } \frac{i}{N}<\xi_{i}<\frac{i+1}{N} \text { for } 0 \leqq i \leqq N-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f\left(a_{i}\right)-\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left(f\left(a_{i}\right)-f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)
$$

But $\left|a_{i}-\xi_{i}\right|<\max \left(\left|a_{i}-i / N\right|,\left|a_{i}-(i+1) / N\right|\right) \leqq D_{N}$ for $0 \leqq i \leqq N-1$, hence $\left|f\left(a_{i}\right)-f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right| \leqq \omega\left(D_{N}\right)$ for $0 \leqq i \leqq N$ - 1, and we are done.

Using the fact that $\omega$ is a nondecreasing function, we arrive at the following consequence.

Corollary 2. Let $f$ be a continuous function in $[0,1]$ with modulus of continuity $\omega$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} f\left(x_{i}\right)-\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x\right| \leqq \omega\left(\frac{c}{Q}\right),
$$

where $c$ has the same meaning as in Corollary 1.
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