On the Distribution of Pseudo-Random Numbers Generated by the Linear Congruential Method

By Harald Niederreiter

Abstract. The discrepancy of sequences of pseudo-random numbers generated by the linear congruential method is estimated, thereby improving a result of Jagerman. Applications to numerical integration are mentioned.

Let *m* be a modulus with primitive root λ , and let y_0 be an integer in the least residue system modulo *m* with g.c.d. $(y_0, m) = 1$. We generate a sequence y_0, y_1, \cdots of integers in the least residue system modulo *m* by $y_{i+1} \equiv \lambda y_i \pmod{m}$ for $j \ge 0$. The sequence x_0, x_1, \cdots , defined by $x_i = y_i/m$ for $j \ge 0$, is then a frequently employed sequence of pseudo-random numbers in the unit interval [0, 1]. Its elements x_i may also be described explicitly by $x_i = \{\lambda^i y_0/m\}$ for $j \ge 0$, where $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of the real number *x*. The sequence x_0, x_1, \cdots has period $Q = \phi(m)$, where ϕ is Euler's totient function.

For a real number α with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, let $A(\alpha)$ be the number of elements of the sequence $x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_{Q-1}$ lying in the interval $[0, \alpha]$. We define the discrepancy $D = \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |A(\alpha)/Q - \alpha|$ which measures the deviation from the uniform distribution. Jagerman [2] has shown that $D \leq (4/\pi)((3 \log m)/Q)^{1/2}$. His method is based on an estimate of the discrepancy in terms of certain trigonometric sums. In the present note, we shall show that a much simpler method yields a considerably sharper estimate for D (see Theorem 2). We prove also some related results.

For α from above and a positive integer k, let $A^{(k)}(\alpha)$ be the number of rationals i/k, $1 \leq i \leq k$, g.c.d.(i, k) = 1, lying in the interval $[0, \alpha]$.

THEOREM 1. For any positive integer k, we have

$$D^{(k)} = \sup_{0 \le \alpha \le 1} \left| \frac{A^{(k)}(\alpha)}{\phi(k)} - \alpha \right| = O(k^{\epsilon-1}) \quad \text{for every } \epsilon > 0.$$

Proof. For an arbitrary positive integer r, we consider the sequence of rationals $1/r, 2/r, \dots, r/r$. There are exactly $[r\alpha]$ elements of this sequence in the interval $[0, \alpha]$. We now count these elements by a second method. We write the rationals j/r, $1 \leq j \leq r$, in reduced form and then count, for each positive divisor d of r, the resulting rationals with denominator d lying in $[0, \alpha]$. We thereby arrive at the identity

(1)
$$[r\alpha] = \sum_{d \mid r} A^{(d)}(\alpha)$$
 for all $r \ge 1$ and all $\alpha, 0 \le \alpha \le 1$.

Applying the Moebius inversion formula to (1), we obtain

Key words and phrases. Pseudo-random numbers, discrepancy, numerical integration.

Copyright © 1972, American Mathematical Society

Received January 6, 1972.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 65C10, 10F40; Secondary 65D30.

$$A^{(k)}(\alpha) = \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d) \left[\frac{k}{d} \alpha \right] \text{ for all } k \ge 1 \text{ and all } \alpha, 0 \le \alpha \le 1.$$

Consequently, we have, for all α with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

(2)
$$\left| \frac{A^{(k)}(\alpha)}{\phi(k)} - \alpha \right| = \left| \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d) \frac{k}{d} \alpha - \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d) \left\{ \frac{k}{d} \alpha \right\} - \alpha \right|$$
$$= \left| \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d) \left\{ \frac{k}{d} \alpha \right\} \right| .$$

Therefore, $D^{(k)} \leq (1/\phi(k)) \sum_{d|k} |\mu(d)| = g(k)$. Now, g(k) is a multiplicative numbertheoretic function. To prove that $\lim_{k\to\infty} g(k)k^{1-\epsilon} = 0$, it will therefore suffice to show that $\lim_{p^*\to\infty} g(p^*)(p^*)^{1-\epsilon} = 0$, where p^* runs through all prime powers. But $g(p^*)(p^*)^{1-\epsilon} = 2p^{-\epsilon*}(1-1/p)^{-1} \leq 4p^{-\epsilon*}$, and we are done.

Let us now return to the sequence x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{Q-1} . Since there is a primitive root modulo m, we must have $m = 2, 4, p^s$, or $2p^s$, where p is an odd prime and $s \ge 1$. For m = 2 and 4, we readily get $D = \frac{1}{2}$ and $D = \frac{1}{4}$, respectively. For the remaining cases, we have the following estimates.

THEOREM 2. If $m = p^*$, then $D \leq 1/Q$. If $m = 2p^*$, then $D \leq 2/Q$.

Proof. We note that the sequence x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{Q-1} runs, in some order, through all the rationals i/m with $1 \leq i \leq m$ and g.c.d.(i, m) = 1. Therefore, $A(\alpha) = A^{(m)}(\alpha)$, and we can apply (2). For $m = p^*$, we get, for all α with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

$$\left|\frac{A(\alpha)}{Q}-\alpha\right|=\frac{1}{Q}\left|\{m\alpha\}-\left\{\frac{m}{p}\alpha\right\}\right|<\frac{1}{Q}.$$

For $m = 2p^s$, we get, for all α with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

$$\left|\frac{A(\alpha)}{Q}-\alpha\right|=\frac{1}{Q}\left|\{m\alpha\}-\left\{\frac{m}{2}\alpha\right\}-\left\{\frac{m}{p}\alpha\right\}+\left\{\frac{m}{2p}\alpha\right\}\right|<\frac{2}{Q}$$

It is well known (see for instance [4]) that the discrepancy D of any sequence in [0, 1] with Q elements must satisfy $D \ge 1/2Q$. Therefore, no substantial improvement of Theorem 2 is possible. We refer to [1] for results on the distribution of pseudo-random numbers in the case $m = 2^*$ with $s \ge 3$ (of course, λ is then not a primitive root any more).

Theorem 2 implies two error estimates for numerical integration based on the sequence x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{Q-1} . First, we apply Koksma's inequality [3] which states that, for any sequence a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1} in [0, 1] with discrepancy D_N and any integrand f with bounded variation V(f) on [0, 1], one has

$$\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}f(a_i)-\int_0^1f(x)\,dx\right| \leq V(f)D_N.$$

The notion of discrepancy is usually defined in terms of the counting functions relative to the half-open intervals $[0, \alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. But it is easily seen that this is identical with our concept of discrepancy in which we used the counting functions relative to the closed intervals $[0, \alpha]$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

COROLLARY 1. Let f be a function with bounded variation V(f) in [0, 1]. Then

$$\left|\frac{1}{Q}\sum_{i=0}^{Q-1}f(x_i)-\int_0^1f(x)\,dx\right|\leq \frac{c}{Q}\,V(f),$$

where $c = \frac{1}{2}$ for m = 2 and 4, c = 1 for $m = p^{s}$, and c = 2 for $m = 2p^{s}$.

794

Finally, we apply an inequality given by the present author in [4]: If a_0, a_1, \cdots , a_{N-1} is a sequence in [0, 1] with discrepancy D_N and f is continuous in [0, 1] with modulus of continuity ω , then

$$\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}f(a_i)-\int_0^1f(x)\,dx\right|\leq\omega(D_N).$$

For the convenience of the reader, we include the short proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $0 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_{N-1} \leq 1$. We know then from [5, Eq. (4)], [6, Theorem 1] that D_N is also given by

$$D_N = \max_{i=0,\dots,N-1} \max\left(\left|a_i - \frac{i}{N}\right|, \left|a_i - \frac{i+1}{N}\right|\right).$$

Now,

$$\int_0^1 f(x) \, dx = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{i/N}^{(i+1)/N} f(x) \, dx$$

= $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{N} f(\xi_i)$ with $\frac{i}{N} < \xi_i < \frac{i+1}{N}$ for $0 \le i \le N-1$.

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}f(a_i) - \int_0^1f(x) \ dx = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(f(a_i) - f(\xi_i)).$$

But $|a_i - \xi_i| < \max(|a_i - i/N|, |a_i - (i+1)/N|) \le D_N$ for $0 \le i \le N - 1$, hence $|f(a_i) - f(\xi_i)| \leq \omega(D_N)$ for $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$, and we are done.

Using the fact that ω is a nondecreasing function, we arrive at the following consequence.

COROLLARY 2. Let f be a continuous function in [0, 1] with modulus of continuity ω . Then

$$\left|\frac{1}{Q}\sum_{i=0}^{Q-1}f(x_i)-\int_0^1f(x)\ dx\right|\leq \omega\left(\frac{c}{Q}\right),$$

where c has the same meaning as in Corollary 1.

Department of Mathematics

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Urbana, Illinois 61801

1. U. DIETER, "Statistical interdependence of pseudo-random numbers generated by the C. Dielek, Statistical interdependence of pseudo-random numbers generated by the linear congruential method," *Proc. Sympos. on Applications of Number Theory to Numerical Analysis* (Montreal, 1971), Academic Press, New York, 1972. (To appear.)
 D. L. JAGERMAN, "Some theorems concerning pseudo-random numbers," *Math. Comp.*, v. 19, 1965, pp. 418–426. MR 32 #1877.

 J. F. KOKSMA, "A general theorem from the theory of uniform distribution modulo 1," Mathematica Zutphen. B, v. 11, 1942, pp. 7–11. (Dutch) MR 7, 370.
 H. NIEDERREITER, "Methods for estimating discrepancy," Proc. Sympos. on Applica-tions of Number Theory to Numerical Analysis (Montreal, 1971), Academic Press, New York, 1072 (Compared Science). 1972. (To appear.)
5. H. NIEDERREITER, "Almost-arithmetic progressions and uniform distribution," Trans.

Amer. Math. Soc., v. 161, 1971, pp. 283–292. 6. H. NIEDERREITER, "Discrepancy and convex programming," Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.,

1972. (To appear.)